**WEST CLANDON PARISH COUNCIL**

**CORRESPONDENCE LIST
July-Sept 2018**

**Sent**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 Aug 18 | Email to GBC with application for £6563 CFGA support for the Village Hall Car Park extension |  |
| 6 Aug 18 | Email to a resident outlining SCC policy on speed limits following reported policy objections to the proposed reduction on Clandon Rd | TP |
| 16 Aug 18 | Email to a resident responding to her request for references to her to be removed from published Parish Council minutes | TP |
| 20 Aug 18 | Email to Runnymede Housing passing on the suggestion of Sinclair Close as a potential name for the development at Tithe Barns Lane | TP |
| 4 Sept18 | Email to Martin Giles of the Guildford Dragon in response to his invitation to comment on the Clandon Community Governance Consultation | TP |
| 6 Sept 18 | Email to West Clandon School responding to their enquiry about our policy on tree inspections at the Recreation Ground | EP |
| 6 Sept 18 | Email to residents on Clandon Rd responding to their request for suggestions as to whom they should complain regarding the decision not to proceed with the speed limit reduction on Clandon Rd | KT TP |
| 7 Sept 18 | Email to GBC Mayoral Office informing them of the revised likely completion date for the Clandon Dragon following an update from Dominic Corolla |  |

**Received**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 24 July 18 | Email from the Democratic Services Manager at GBC announcing ethical standards training for parish councillors in June/July 2019.   | All |
| 24 July18 | Email from Guildford Planning Department announcing that the new National Planning Policy Framework has been published. You can find the document here: <https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/728643/Revised_NPPF_2018.pdf>. Transitional arrangements are in place for emerging plans whereby any plans examined before 24 January 2019 are tested against the previous NPPF (see paragraph 214 of the new NPPF). Under the new process for reviewing plans, a neighbourhood plan review is likely to be subject to examination, and the transitional arrangements are therefore likely to apply.  | TP, GD, JM |
| 24 July 18 | Email form GBC confirming that the Council gave approval for us to move forward with the Community Governance Review. R last night. The eight-week consultation runs to 16 October 2018. | TP |
| 25 July 18 | **Email from the Director of Planning and Regeneration at GBC announcing a Consultation on a proposed modification to the West Horsley Neighbourhood Plan.** This consultation is available to view on the Council’s [consultation website](https://getinvolved.guildford.gov.uk/consult.ti/WHNPMod/consultationHome)  |  |
| 26 July 18 | Emails from Councillor Keith Taylor and Surrey Highways informing us that the proposed speed limit extension along Clandon Rd had been shelved due to Police objections and including reference to SCC Speed Limit Policy. The resurfacing on Clandon Rd has also be delayed pending the completion of works on Portsmouth Rd. The planned safety improvements at the A3 slip however were still programmed for the current financial year although no start date had yet been fixed. | All |
| 27 July 2018 | Email from Di Topczewski, Engagement Officer at Guildford Museum asking for opportunities to explore links, connections, conversations and stories they can discover in areas outside central Guildford in a project called ‘Our History, Our Identity’ and discover more about Guildford borough’s rural history and identity. They would like to visit events in as many parishes as possible and to meet local people.  At the end of the project, Guildford Museum will be hosting an exhibition (Feb/March 2019) highlighting places, objects, stories and identities of all the parishes that have welcomed us to their village. Nominations requested. |  |
| 31 July 18 | Email from Jo Long, Partnership Officer GBC. The Parking Asset GIS Team have created online webmaps of parking restrictions across the county. Now available at<https://www.surreycc.gov.uk/roads-and-transport/parking/restrictions-and-controls/interactive-map-of-parking-controls-in-surrey> |  |
| 2 Aug 18 | Email from a resident commenting on the decision to drop proposals for extending the village speed limit following police objections | TP |
| 3 Aug 18 | 6 emails from a resident commenting on the police objection to extending the village speed limit, the costs of accidents to the NHS and problems caused by parking and traffic associated with the development at the Low Cottage site. | TP |
| 6 Aug 18 | Various emails from a resident asking us to take action against contractors delivering to the Low Cottage Building Site allegedly obstructing the highway. |  |
| 8 Aug 18 | Email from the Business Manager GBC Planning Services confirming they are now moving forward with not accepting cheque payments for planning applications from September 1st 2018. Any cheques received after this date will be returned. |  |
| 13 Aug 18 | Email from a relative of a former resident researching into family history and enquiring into property history at ‘Clandon Cross’ |  |
| 13 Aug 18  | Enquiry from Runnymede Homes asking for suggestions for names for the new development on Tithebarns Lane | All |
| 17 Aug 18 | Agenda and papers for the meeting of GBC Executive held 28 Aug 2018 |  |
| 21 Aug 18 | Email from Surrey County Council inviting comment on changes to the Local Transport Plan on Low Emission and Electric Vehicles. <https://www.surreycc.gov.uk/roads-and-transport/policies-plans-consultations/transport-plan/consultations>  |  |
| 25 Aug 18 | Email from CPRE asking for support in their campaign against fracking |  |
| 28 Aug 18 | Email from GRA outlining the difference between GBC and GRA housing figures in the local plan.They conclude that, “GBC consultants GLHearn (GLH) has agreed with the GRA consultant Neil MacDonald (NMD) that the base demographic housing need has reduced from about 422 homes a year to about 400 (398 vs 405). They also agree that more of the economic need and student need for housing are met by the demographically based housing figure than was previously thought.GLH and NMD do not agree on economic housing need. There is a difference of about 90 homes a year (460 vs 549) because GLH declines to assume rates of participation in the economy increase in times of economic growth and GLH also assumes more economic growth will mean more inactive/retired people will move to Guildford. There is a further difference of about 57 homes a year because GLH also adds a big uplift to the economic housing need to return to 2001 household formation rates. This increases their economic need figure from 549 to 606 homes a year and is a form of affordability uplift.In spite of the demographic figure including even more homes for 18-23 year olds, GLH persists is adding 29 extra homes a year for students anyway with flimsy justification having conceded it may not be necessary to do so.Overall, NMD advises the need is 460 homes a year to meet the Inspector’s proposed 0.8% rate of economic growth, whereas GLH calls for 629.”The next GRA meeting will be held on 27 Sept (time and venue tbc) to agree a response to the consultation. |  |
| 2 Sep 18 | Email from a resident regretting the decision not to proceed with the Speed Limit Reduction on Clandon Rd and questioning the accuracy of the data supplied by the Police |  |
| 6 Sept 18 | Email from a resident asking for assurances that the Parish Council had asked the Police to include West Clandon in a recent campaign against speeding | TP |

**Planning Applications**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 18/P/01416 | The Cottage, The Street, West Clandon, Guildford, GU4 7SY. Erection of a two storey, three bed dwelling following demolition of existing bungalow. | JM |
| 18/P/01395 | Rickdon, The Street, West Clandon, Guildford, GU4 7TD. Proposed widening of site entrance to accommodate new 1.6m high sliding gate and proposed 1.6m high close board fencing to front boundary to replace existing fencing. | JM |
| 18/P/01504 | Clandon Cottage, The Street, West Clandon, Guildford, GU4 7ST. Erection of a single storey detached double garage. | JM |
| 18/P/01496  | Clandon Cottage, The Street, West Clandon, Guildford, GU4 7ST. Certificate of Lawfulness for a proposed development to establish whether a single storey front, side and rear extension would be lawful. | JM |
| 18/P/01622 | Rear two storey extension together with associated internal alterations and following removal of rear single storey elements. | JM |
| 18/P/01676 | Beech House, Green Lane, West Clandon, Guildford, GU4 7UR Proposal: Proposed rear two storey and single storey extension and new two storey side extension following the demolition of the existing garage and associated buildings. | JM |
| 18/P/01629 | Poyners Cottage, The Street, West Clandon, Guildford, GU4 7TJ Proposal: Listed building consent for replacement windows to the east elevation. | JM |

**Planning Decisions**

18/P/01079 Hawthorn Cottage, The Street, West Clandon, Guildford, GU4 7TJ Listed building consent to strip existing clay and concrete plain tiles, battens and felt. Remove foam from between rafters. Insulate loft areas using fibreglass quilt. Insulate sloping ceilings using rigid insulation board. Replace existing rooflight if necessary. Provide new breather membrane, battens and new Tudor hand-made clay plain tiles and fittings in Medium Antique colour. Renew valley gutter using Code 6 lead. Replace missing chimney pot. Approve

18/P/00715 Land between 10 and 12, Bennett Way, West Clandon, GU4 7TN Erection of a detached two-storey dwelling and garage. Apprvoe

 18/P/00987. Old Boodles, The Street, West Clandon, Guildford, GU4 7TJ Listed Building Consent to demolish the existing single storey detached garage and outbuilding. Approve

18/P/00986 Old Boodles, The Street, West Clandon, Guildford, GU4 7TJ Proposal: Proposed single storey detached double garage following demolition of existing detached garage and outbuilding; extension of existing driveway. Approve

18/P/01079 Hawthorn Cottage, The Street, West Clandon, Guildford, GU4 7TJ. Listed building consent to strip existing clay and concrete plain tiles, battens and felt. Remove foam from between rafters. Insulate loft areas using fibreglass quilt. Insulate sloping ceilings using rigid insulation board. Replace existing rooflight if necessary. Provide new breather membrane, battens and new Tudor hand-made clay plain tiles and fittings in Medium Antique colour. Renew valley gutter using Code 6 lead. Replace missing chimney pot. Approve.

18/P/01135 App type: Old Boodles, The Street, West Clandon, Guildford, GU4 7TJ Proposal: Listed building consent for the installation of secondary glazing windows. Approve

18/P/01284 Vanecot, Malacca Farm, West Clandon, Guildford, GU4 7UG Proposed erection of two storey replacement dwelling following demolition of existing dwelling. Approve

18/P/00617 National Trust, Clandon Park House, Clandon Park, West Clandon Installation of temporary visitor toilets and buggy store in the existing visitor car park (retrospective) Approve

**Planning Cases Closed**

EN/18/00179 Little Acre, Shere Road, West Clandon, Guildford, GU4 8SG Alleged unauthorised construction of decking and excavation works. This case relates to an allegation that decking has been constructed along with excavation works in the rear garden. The excavation works relate to the replacement of the lining of a below ground swimming pool and the decking area adjacent to the dwelling is a replacement for a paved patio area. Both are compliant with Permitted Development Regulations, under Class E, Schedule 2, Part 1. No breach of Planning Control has been established. All respective parties updated.

**Transactions approved since the July Meeting**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Date | Beneficiary | Ref | VAT reclaimed | £ |
| 05/08/2018 | MJStone | 18.48 |  | 384.93 |
| 05/08/2018 | HMRC | 18.49 |  | 228 |
| 05/08/2018 | Gavin Jones  | 18.50 | 44.93 | 269.59 |

**Journals and Magazines received**

Countryside Voice and Field Work Summer 2018 (CPRE)

Clerks and Councils Direct Sept 18

**A247 West Clandon**

A register of problems and possible mediations to traffic related issues in West Clandon

Traffic through West Clandon has long been one of the biggest concerns to residents. Problems include the speed of traffic and the unsuitability of the road and pavements for the volume of traffic and the number of heavy vehicles lured away from alternative routes by the lack of a height restriction on our railway bridge. In the absence of any significant intervention by Surrey County Council the Parish Council has installed two Variable Message Speed Signs but a more extensive scheme to be financed by the County recently fell victim to budget cuts. £7000 earmarked for the current financial year to extend the 30mph limit on Clandon Rd is in abeyance following reported objections by the Police. These objections are however in line with SCC’s own policy on such matters.

Attempts to attract resources to address these problems are hampered by a lack of statistical evidence to support the case. Despite local perceptions traffic through the village is not unusually congested when compared with prevailing conditions elsewhere in the County and the recorded accident record is comparatively low. The proportion of heavy lorries is actually very small and levels of heavy and general traffic have not been increasing in recent years. Evidence from recent speed surveys is mixed with a mean traffic speed on Clandon Rd below the posted 40mph limit. On the stretch approaching Clandon School, however the prevailing speed is well above the 30mph limit. In both cases, however, under current SCC policy speeds are above the levels required to trigger a speed limit reduction without physical supporting measures.

There is general agreement, however, that the implementation of the 2018 Guildford Local Plan will make matters worse although the lack of published data on traffic modelling specific to West Clandon which takes into account recent additions to the Plan means it is not possible to project by how much. The main drivers of expected traffic increases include;

* the construction over the planning period of large numbers of new homes and industrial space in the area. (Particularly Garlick’s Arch, Burnt Common, Send and Wisley Airfield) Some of these have been enlarged and re-phased to make a larger contribution in the early stages of the Plan;
* the opening of the North Facing Slip Roads at Burnt Common which will create new routes through West Clandon for traffic currently

using alternatives;

* increasing dependence on intelligent satnavs which is already driving a disproportionate increase in the use of local roads by traffic avoiding congestion on the strategic road network (such as the A3).

Relevant road schemes proposed in the area include:
* the M25 J10 improvement at Wisley. This has been downgraded from a ‘free flow’ junction to an enlarged roundabout which will be less effective at clearing queues into the junction increasing the likelihood of northbound diversions at the Burnt Common slip along the A247. The DCO (Development Consent Order) for this scheme has just been put back to ‘Early 2019’ with construction start slipping again to 20/21;
* A3 widening through Guildford. Currently programmed to starting RIS2 (2020-2025) the Planning Inspector concluded that this might not be open until 2029, long after the Burnt Common slips were due to open. Southbound rush rour traffic currently leaving at Burpham would have the option of leaving at Burnt Common.
* New A3 slip roads at Burpham including southbound access and link to Merrow Lane. This is a developer funded scheme linked to Gosden Hill. Significantly the Local Plan raises the possibility of diverting the B2234 along Merrow Lane to reflect its new role as a through route from Epsom Road to the A3. This is a potential alternative route for the A247. The railway bridge on Merrow Lane (Site of the proposed new station) remains an impediment to tall trucks.
* Portsmouth Rd (Ripley) – Clandon Rd Link Road. Passing through the Garlicks Arch development this would take pressure off the Burnt Common Roundabout. (And facilitate access to W Clandon!)
* Burpham-Burnt Common Link Road. Land is to be reserved for this but there appears to be no immediate prospect of its construction. It would run parallel to the A3. It could allow traffic leaving Gosden Hill (and Merrow Lane) access the A3 northbound at Burnt Common, avoiding Burpham.

The Local Plan stops short of saying development would not happen if major infrastructure was delayed saying it would re-consider the evidence base.

Following lobbying by the Parish Council Guildford Borough Council have now included a notional £1m developer funded contribution towards environmental improvements and traffic management in West Clandon (Subject to consultation). The timescale is put at 2020-2025 but there is as yet no scheme or mechanism for accessing the funds. GBC have however invited us to take on its development. The following tables are designed to provoke discussion on this theme and represents a ‘starter for 10’.

Issues

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Speeding on the Street
 | Particular problems between Bull and Clandon Regis and Lime Grove to the Station | VMS installed in two locations |
| 1. Speeding on Clandon Rd
 | Mean speeds are below the 40mph limit but breaches are reportedly common and of concern to residents | Proposals for lowering speed limit in abeyance |
| 1. Narrow Rds and Pavements with inadequate line of site forcing wide vehicles entering the narrow sections onto the footway
 | Particularly between the Church and the School and from Clandon Regis Entrance to the Recreation Ground |  |
| 1. A3 slip/Burnt Common Junction accident record
 |  | Safety Scheme programmed. Opportunity for major remodelling with Burnt Common Slips |
| 1. Station Approaches/Oak Grange Rd dangerous junction with the Street with poor pedestrian facilities
 | Traffic volumes likely to increase due to developments in the area |  |
| 1. Lime Grove Junction and road crossing to footpath 66
 |  |  |
| 1. Poor line of sight from many properties/side roads onto the Street
 | West side of the Street between Felix Drive and Lime Grove Bourdeille Lodge etc |  |
| 1. Crossing between Church and Church Car Park
 |  |  |
| 1. General Increase in Traffic and particularly heavy traffic
 | To be exacerbated by proposed Direct Link to A3at Burnt Common and our bridge over the railway |  |

Possible Options

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Option | Detail | Problems Adressed |
| Reinstating the Old Carriage Drive to provide a traffic free pedestrian and cycle route through the village connecting with the Church, Clandon Park, The Bull, The Recreation Ground , The Station, Dedswell Drive, Lime Grove, Green Lane and developing cycling infrastructure to the North and South of the Village |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Priority 1 | Clandon Park- Recreation Ground -utilising the ‘walk to school route for the final stage’Bypasses narrowest section |
| Priority 2 | Dedswell Drive to Recreation Ground- requires new route behind houses on the Street and possible pedestrian bridge over railway with link to the station |
| Priority 3 | Highcotts Lane (north)- Deswell Drive. Route exists- re-designation required. Possible alternative through field between Highcotts Lane (south) and Deswell Manor Farm Drive |
|  |

 | 3 |
| Widening Footway on narrow sections | Acquiring land from residential properties to widen footway in narrow sections to improve pedestrian safety | 3 |
| Constructing ‘pull ins’ for wide vehicles either side of narrow sections  | Linked to clear vehicle priorities and signage positioned to allow line of site access to narrower sections | 1,3,9 |
| Traffic Signal controlled alternate one way working | On narrow sections | 1,3,9 |
| Additional local narrowing of the road with buildouts and bollards (as in Albury) | To reduce speed on Clandon Rd, Between Lime Grove and the Station and Outside Clandon Regis | 1,2,7,9 |
| Remodelling Station Approach/Oak Grange Rd junction with the Street with improvement pedestrian and cycle facilities | Possibly including Traffic Signal Control, Footway on East side of Railway Bridge, Closure of Station Approach on North Side | 5 |
| Gated access to Village combined with width restrictions to slow traffic | At Green Lane or Lime Grove | 1,2, |
| 20 mph sections on selected narrow sections | Linked to physical measures | 1 |
| Average Speed Cameras | 2/3 zones within the village | 1,2 |
| Lime Grove Junctions with the Street remodelling including pedestrian link with footpath 66 | Lights or mini roundabout | 6 |
| Additional VMS signs | Clandon Rd, May be some scope on the Street near Lime Grove | 1,2 |
| Diversion of A247 down Merrow/Park Lane and develop case for Merrow Lane Bridge Improvement |  | 3,9 |
| Road Narrowing/Gating Scheme at Burnt Common Clandon Rd Junction to ‘nudge’ traffic away from the Village |  | 2,9 |
| Extend 30mph limit to Burnt Common | Linked to physical speed reduction measures | 2 |
| Wider area signing on A3, A25 and A246 to direct general traffic onto Merrow/Park Lane  | Height restriction may apply | 9 |

Next Steps

Discussion with Surrey Highways
Consider engagement of consultants

GBC Parishes’ Precept report 2018-19 (Compiled by Ripley Parish Council

The following tables are adapted from Guildford Borough Council’s Public Reports Pack 07022018, dated 30th January 2018, and depict the effect of development and parish council finances across the Borough.

Table 1 shows each parish ranked by its number of dwellings

Table 2 demonstrates the increase (or otherwise) in Band D dwellings for each parish

Table 3 displays how each parish ranks by total amount of precept received

Table 4 ranks parishes by the extra income generated via the precept

Table 5 shows the cost to each parishes’ Band D equivalent properties

Table 6 depicts the parishes ranked by percentage rise in precept

Table 1. How parishes compare by amount of Band D equivalent properties:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2016-17** | **2017-18** |  | **2018-19** |  |
| **Rank** | **Parish** | **Total Band D equivalent** | **Parish** | **Total Band D equivalent** | **+/-** | **Parish** | **Total Band D equivalent** | **+/-** |
| 1 | Ash | 6,364.02 | Ash | 6,590.00 | 225.98 | Ash | 6,611.38 | 21.38 |
| 2 | Worplesdon | 3,401.80 | Worplesdon | 3,455.00 | 53.20 | Worplesdon | 3,474.70 | 19.70 |
| 3 | East Horsley | 2,492.05 | East Horsley | 2,516.68 | 24.63 | East Horsley | 2,519.25 | 2.57 |
| 4 | Send | 2,003.88 | Send | 2,034.15 | 30.27 | Send | 2,043.26 | 9.11 |
| 5 | Shere | 1,902.23 | Shere | 1,955.94 | 53.71 | Shere | 1,980.59 | 24.65 |
| 6 | Shalford | 1,804.82 | Shalford | 1,829.52 | 24.70 | Shalford | 1,834.97 | 5.45 |
| 7 | West Horsley | 1,476.91 | West Horsley | 1,503.22 | 26.31 | West Horsley | 1,507.97 | 4.75 |
| 8 | Effingham | 1,372.30 | Effingham | 1,394.22 | 21.92 | Effingham | 1,388.38 | -5.84 |
| 9 | Normandy | 1,337.53 | Normandy | 1,348.58 | 11.05 | Normandy | 1,351.45 | 2.87 |
| 10 | Pirbright | 1,233.96 | Pirbright | 1,225.64 | -8.32 | Pirbright | 1,251.59 | 25.95 |
| 11 | Ripley | 843.55 | Ripley | 893.77 | 50.22 | Ripley | 917.93 | 24.16 |
| 12 | Tongham | 822.28 | Tongham | 859.22 | 36.94 | Tongham | 866.65 | 7.43 |
| **13** | **West Clandon** | **677.58** | **West Clandon** | **691.81** | **14.23** | **West Clandon** | **690.33** | **-1.48** |
| 14 | Albury | 603.02 | Albury | 613.11 | 10.09 | Albury | 627.66 | 14.55 |
| 15 | Seale & Sands | 510.43 | Seale & Sands | 520.94 | 10.51 | Seale & Sands | 523.12 | 2.18 |
| 16 | Compton | 480.29 | Compton | 477.68 | -2.61 | Compton | 483.02 | 5.34 |
| 17 | St. Martha | 402.96 | St Martha | 410.45 | 7.49 | St Martha | 406.89 | -3.56 |
| 18 | Shackleford | 363.56 | Shackleford | 366.60 | 3.04 | Shackleford | 375.80 | 9.20 |
| 19 | Puttenham | 295.01 | Puttenham | 297.99 | 2.98 | Puttenham | 301.55 | 3.56 |
| 20 | Ockham | 253.34 | Ockham | 254.43 | 1.09 | Ockham | 253.64 | -0.79 |
| 21 | Wanborough | 166.17 | Wanborough | 171.27 | 5.10 | Wanborough | 168.40 | -2.87 |
| 22 | East Clandon | 148.24 | East Clandon | 149.89 | 1.65 | East Clandon | 148.30 | -1.59 |
| 23 | Artington | 138.89 | Artington | 139.10 | 0.21 | Artington | 140.78 | 1.68 |
| 24 | Wisley | 81.85 | Wisley | 97.22 | 15.37 | Wisley | 99.30 | 2.08 |

In recent years, there has been no movement in parishes when ranked by number of Band D equivalent dwellings. Development planned in the Local Plan will see Ripley move much closer to Pirbright’s current size, while Ockham, Normandy, Effingham, and West Clandon will join Ash, Worplesdon, and East Horsley as being amongst the Borough’s most populous parishes.

The following tables reorganise parishes according to their amount of recent development and the precept requirement for each area.Table 2. Parishes ranked by increase in Band D:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2017-18** | **2018-19** |
| **Rank** | **Parish** | **Band D property increase** | **Parish** | **Band D property increase** |
| 1 | Ash | 225.98 | Pirbright | 25.95 |
| 2 | Shere | 53.71 | Shere | 24.65 |
| 3 | Worplesdon | 53.20 | **Ripley** | **24.16** |
| 4 | **Ripley** | **50.22** | Ash | 21.38 |
| 5 | Tongham | 36.94 | Worplesdon | 19.70 |
| 6 | Send | 30.27 | Albury | 14.55 |
| 7 | West Horsley | 26.31 | Shackleford | 9.20 |
| 8 | Shalford | 24.70 | Send | 9.11 |
| 9 | East Horsley | 24.63 | Tongham | 7.43 |
| 10 | Effingham | 21.92 | Shalford | 5.45 |
| 11 | Wisley | 15.37 | Compton | 5.34 |
| **12** | **West Clandon** | **14.23** | **West Horsley** | **4.75** |
| 13 | Normandy | 11.05 | Puttenham | 3.56 |
| 14 | Seale & Sands | 10.51 | Normandy | 2.87 |
| 15 | Albury | 10.09 | East Horsley | 2.57 |
| 16 | St Martha | 7.49 | Seale & Sands | 2.18 |
| 17 | Wanborough | 5.10 | Wisley | 2.08 |
| 18 | Shackleford | 3.04 | Artington | 1.68 |
| 19 | Puttenham | 2.98 | Ockham | -0.79 |
| 20 | East Clandon | 1.65 | West Clandon | -1.48 |
| 21 | Ockham | 1.09 | East Clandon | -1.59 |
| 22 | Artington | 0.21 | Wanborough | -2.87 |
| 23 | Compton | -2.61 | St. Martha | -3.56 |
| 24 | Pirbright | -8.32 | Effingham | -5.84 |
| **Total** |  | **619.76** |  | **170.48** |

Development in the Borough’s parishes slowed considerably last year

Table 3. How the parishes compare by total precept requirement:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2016-17** | **2017-18** |  | **2018-19** |  |
| **Rank** | **Parish** | **Precept**  | **Parish** | **Precept** | **£ +/-** | **Parish** | **Precept** | **£ +/-** |
| 1 | Ash | £396,480 | Ash | £434,940 | 38,460 | Ash | £445,013 | 20,073 |
| 2 | Worplesdon | £197,256 | Worplesdon | £197,256 | 0 | Worplesdon | £196,712 | -544 |
| 3 | Normandy | £145,000 | Normandy | £137,765 | -7,235 | Normandy | £140,300 | 2,535 |
| 4 | Shere | £115,000 | Shere | £117,300 | 2,300 | East Horsley | £118,524 | 15,250 |
| 5 | East Horsley | £86,798 | East Horsley | £103,274 | 16,476 | Shere | £117,300 | 0 |
| 6 | West Horsley | £63,038 | Effingham | £95,486 | 33,330 | Effingham | £96,895 | 1,409 |
| 7 | Shalford | £62,637 | West Horsley | £78,828 | 15,790 | West Horsley | £82,140 | 3,312 |
| 8 | Effingham | £62,156 | Shalford | £66,637 | 4,000 | Shalford | £72,227 | 5,590 |
| 9 | Pirbright | £51,260 | Ripley | £54,725 | 5,538 | Ripley | £64,636 | 9,911 |
| 10 | Ripley | £49,187 | Pirbright | £52,433 | 1,173 | Pirbright | £56,500 | 4,067 |
| 11 | Tongham | £44,092 | Send | £43,605 | 4,001 | Send | £44,604 | 999 |
| 12 | Albury  | £41,530 | Albury | £42,225 | 695 | Albury | £44,092 | 1,867 |
| 13 | Send | £39,604 | Tongham | £32,774 | -11,318 | Tongham | £29,074 | -3,700 |
| 14 | Compton | £22,459 | Compton | £22,460 | 1 | Compton | £22,460 | 0 |
| **15** | **West Clandon** | **£19,614** | **West Clandon** | **£20,428** | **814** | **West Clandon** | **£22,473** | **2,045** |
| 16 | Seale & Sands | £15,000 | Seale & Sands | £15,750 | 750 | Seale & Sands | £17,750 | 2,000 |
| 17 | St. Martha | £13,426 | St Martha | £13,706 | 280 | St. Martha | £14,060 | 354 |
| 18 | Puttenham | £12,350 | Puttenham | £12,350 | 0 | Puttenham | £12,350 | 0 |
| 19 | Ockham | £10,000 | Ockham | £10,500 | 500 | Ockham | £10,500 | 0 |
| 20 | Shackleford | £8,361 | Shackleford | £8,798 | 437 | Shackleford | £9,395 | 597 |
| 21 | East Clandon | £7,419 | East Clandon | £7,567 | 148 | East Clandon | £7,756 | 189 |
| 22 | Wanborough  | £3,800 | Wanborough | £3,800 | 0 | Wanborough | £3,850 | 50 |
| 23 | Artington | £3,335 | Artington | £3,500 | 165 | Artington | £3,675 | 175 |
| 24 | Wisley | £0 | Wisley | £0 | 0 | Wisley | £0 | 0 |

Table 4. Parishes ranked by increase in income:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2017-18** | **2018-19** |
| **Rank** | **Parish** | **Total Precept rise (£)** | **Parish** | **Total Precept rise (£)** |
| 1 | Ash | 38,460 | Ash | 20,073 |
| 2 | Effingham | 33,330 | East Horsley | 15,250 |
| 3 | East Horsley | 16,476 | **Ripley** | **9,911** |
| 4 | West Horsley | 15,790 | Shalford | 5,590 |
| 5 | Ripley | 5,538 | Pirbright | 4,067 |
| 6 | Send | 4,001 | West Horsley | 3,312 |
| 7 | Shalford | 4,000 | Normandy | 2,535 |
| 8 | Shere | 2,300 | **West Clandon** | **2,045** |
| 9 | Pirbright | 1,173 | Seale & Sands | 2,000 |
| 10 | **West Clandon** | **814** | Albury | 1,867 |
| 11 | Seale & Sands | 750 | Effingham | 1,409 |
| 12 | Albury | 695 | Send | 999 |
| 13 | Ockham | 500 | Shackleford | 597 |
| 14 | Shackleford | 437 | St. Martha | 354 |
| 15 | St Martha | 280 | East Clandon | 189 |
| 16 | Artington | 165 | Artington | 175 |
| 17 | East Clandon | 148 | Wanborough | 50 |
| 18 | Compton | 1 | Compton | 0 |
| 19 | Puttenham | 0 | Ockham | 0 |
| 20 | Wanborough | 0 | Puttenham | 0 |
| 21 | Wisley | 0 | Shere | 0 |
| 22 | Worplesdon | 0 | Wisley | 0 |
| 23 | Normandy | -7,235 | Worplesdon | -544 |
| 24 | Tongham | -11,318 | Tongham | -3,700 |

Because of its size, Ash can achieve a £20k+ uplift in income with a precept increase of less than 2%. Artington, on the other hand, needs to raise its precept nearly 4% to bring in an extra £175.

Table 5. Parishes by cost to Band D property:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2016-17** | **2017-18** |  | **2018-19** |  |
| **Rank** |  **Parish** | **Band D equivalent**  | **Parish** | **Band D equivalent** | **%****+/-** | **Parish** | **Band D equivalent** | **%****+/-** |
| 1 | Normandy | £108.41 | Normandy | £102.16 | -5.8 | Normandy | £103.81 | 1.62 |
| 2 | Albury | £68.87 | Albury | £68.87 | 0 | Ripley | £70.42 | 15.01 |
| 3 | Ash | £62.30 | Effingham | £68.48 | 51.2 | Albury | £70.24 | 1.99 |
| 4 | Shere | £60.40 | Ash | £66.00 | 5.9 | Effingham | £69.79 | 1.91 |
| 5 | Ripley | £58.31 | Ripley | £61.23 | 5 | Ash | £67.31 | 1.99 |
| 6 | Worplesdon | £57.99 | Shere | £59.97 | -0.7 | Shere | £59.25 | -1.20 |
| 7 | Tongham | £53.62 | Worplesdon | £57.09 | -1.5 | Worplesdon | £56.61 | -0.84 |
| 8 | East Clandon | £50.05 | West Horsley | £52.44 | 12.3 | West Horsley | £54.47 | 3.87 |
| 9 | Compton | £46.76 | East Clandon | £50.48 | 0.9 | East Clandon | £52.30 | 3.61 |
| 10 | West Horsley | £46.68 | Compton | £47.02 | 0.6 | East Horsley | £47.05 | 14.64 |
| 11 | Effingham | £45.29 | Pirbright | £42.78 | 3 | Compton | £46.50 | -1.11 |
| 12 | Puttenham | £41.86 | Puttenham | £41.44 | -1 | Pirbright | £45.14 | 5.52 |
| 13 | Pirbright | £41.54 | Ockham | £41.27 | 4.6 | Ockham | £41.40 | 0.32 |
| 14 | Ockham | £39.47 | East Horsley | £41.04 | 17.8 | Puttenham | £40.96 | -1.16 |
| 15 | East Horsley | £34.83 | Tongham | £38.14 | -28.9 | Shalford | £39.36 | 8.07 |
| 16 | Shalford | £34.71 | Shalford | £36.42 | 4.9 | St. Martha | £34.56 | 3.50 |
| 17 | St. Martha | £33.32 | St Martha | £33.39 | 0.2 | Seale & Sands | £33.93 | 12.24 |
| 18 | Seale & Sands | £29.39 | Seale & Sands | £30.23 | 2.9 | Tongham | £33.55 | -12.04 |
| **19** | **West Clandon** | **£28.95** | **West Clandon** | **£29.53** | **2** | **West Clandon** | **£32.55** | **10.23** |
| 20 | Artington | £24.02 | Artington | £25.16 | 4.7 | Artington | £26.10 | 3.74 |
| 21 | Shackleford | £23.00 | Shackleford | £24.00 | 4.3 | Shackleford | £25.00 | 4.17 |
| 22 | Wanborough | £22.87 | Wanborough | £22.19 | -3 | Wanborough | £22.86 | 3.02 |
| 23 | Send | £19.76 | Send | £21.44 | 8.5 | Send | £21.83 | 1.82 |
| 24 | Wisley | £0 | Wisley | £0 | 0 | Wisley | £0 | 0 |

Send parish remains the Borough’s tax haven. Ripley’s Band D equivalent is closer to Shalford’s than to Normandy’s.

Table 6. Parishes ranked by % increase per Band D:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2017-18** | **2018-19** |
| **Rank** | **Parish** | **Band D % increase** | **Parish** | **Band D % increase** |
| 1 | Effingham | 51.2 | Ripley | 15.01 |
| 2 | East Horsley | 17.8 | East Horsley | 14.64 |
| 3 | West Horsley | 12.3 | Seale & Sands | 12.24 |
| 4 | Send | 8.5 | **West Clandon** | **10.23** |
| 5 | Ash | 5.9 | Shalford | 8.07 |
| 6 | Ripley | 5.0 | Pirbright | 5.52 |
| 7 | Shalford | 4.9 | Shackleford | 4.17 |
| 8 | Artington | 4.7 | West Horsley | 3.87 |
| 9 | Ockham | 4.6 | Artington | 3.74 |
| 10 | Shackleford | 4.3 | East Clandon | 3.61 |
| 11 | Pirbright | 3.0 | St. Martha | 3.50 |
| 12 | Seale & Sands | 2.9 | Wanborough | 3.02 |
| 13 | **West Clandon** | **2.0** | Albury | 1.99 |
| 14 | East Clandon | 0.9 | Ash | 1.99 |
| 15 | Compton | 0.6 | Effingham | 1.91 |
| 16 | St. Martha | 0.2 | Send | 1.82 |
| 17 | Albury | 0 | Normandy | 1.62 |
| 18 | Wisley | 0 | Ockham | 0.32 |
| 19 | Shere | -0.7 | Wisley | 0 |
| 20 | Puttenham | -1.0 | Worplesdon | -0.84 |
| 21 | Worplesdon | -1.5 | Compton | -1.11 |
| 22 | Wanborough | -3.0 | Shere | -1.20 |
| 23 | Normandy | -5.8 | Puttenham | -1.16 |
| 24 | Tongham | -28.9 | Tongham | -12.04 |
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